

# TCTU Conservation Grant Program Scoring Criteria

Project Name:

TU Chapter/Council:

Requested Funds:

<b>Conservation Impact (30 total points)</b>	<b>Score</b>
--	--------------

Does the project advance TCTU's conservation mission in Tennessee?*	20 - Clearly advances coldwater conservation in TN 14 - Moderately advances coldwater conservation in TN 7 - Insufficient conservation tie-in 0 - Does not align with TCTU's mission
---	---

What is the primary type of trout population that benefits from the project?	10 - Native brook Trout 7 - Wild, naturalized trout 4 - Stocked trout 2 - No specific target 0 - No direct benefit
--	--

<b>Organizational Benefits (20 total points)</b>	<b>Score</b>
--	--------------

What is the expected volunteer contribution?	10 - High 6 - Medium 3 - Low 0 - None
--	--

Is there a clear plan to communicate the project beyond the TU applicant?	10 - Extensive 5 - Moderate 0 - None
---	--

<b>Technical Merits (30 total points)</b>	<b>Score</b>
---	--------------

Are the project goals measurable and achievable?	10 - Yes, goals are both measurable and achievable 6 - Goals are measurable but may take longer to accomplish 3 - Goals are measurable but will take longer to accomplish 0 - Goals are not measurable or achievable
--	---

Can the outlined methods produce expected goals?	10 - Methods will clearly achieve goals 5 - Methods will achieve goals with additional work 0 - Methods do not fit goals
--	--

Is the budget reasonable and is it clear how expenses will help achieve goals?	10 - Budget is reasonable and fits project goals 6 - Budget slightly exceeds expectations but fits goals 3 - Budget extremely exceeds expectations but fits goals 0 - Budget not justified by project goals
--	--

<b>Scorer's Name</b>	<b>Composite Score</b>
----------------------	------------------------

Notes and Comments:

\* Any proposal with an average score less than 7 will not be eligible for awarding.